## Agenda Item 15

| To: | Executive Councillor for Strategy: <br> Councillor Tim Bick <br> Chief Executive, Director of Customer \& Community |
| :--- | :--- |
| Report by: | Services, Director of Environment and Director of <br> Resources |
| Relevant scrutiny  <br> committee: Strategy \& Resources |  |
| Wards affected: All Wards |  |
| Strategy \& Resources - Strategy Portfolio 2013 |  |
| - Revenue and Capital Budgets 2012/13 (Revised) |  |
| - 2013/14 (Budgets) and 2014/15 (Forecast) |  |

## Key Decision

## 1. Executive summary

## Revenue and Capital Budgets

1.1 The following report sets out the overall base revenue and capital budget position for the Strategy Portfolio. The report compares the proposed 2012/13 Revised Budget to the budget as at September 2012 and details the budget proposals for 2013/14 and 2014/15.

## 2. Recommendations

The Executive Councillor is recommended to:

## Review of Charges:

a) Note that there is no proposed review of charges requiring approval for Strategy \& Climate Change Portfolio services.

## Revenue Budgets:

b) Approve, with any amendments, the current year funding requests and savings, (shown in Appendix A) and the resulting revised revenue budgets for 2012/13 (shown in section 3, Table 1) for submission to the Executive.
c) Agree proposals for revenue savings and unavoidable bids, as set out in Appendix C.
d) Agree proposals for bids from external or existing funding, as set out in Appendix D, if applicable.
e) Agree proposals for Priority Policy Fund (PPF) bids, as set out in Appendix E.
f) Approve the budget proposals for 2013/14 as shown in Table 2, for submission to the Executive.

## Capital:

g) Approve capital bids, as identified in Appendix H, for submission to the Executive for inclusion in the Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan or addition to the Hold List, as indicated.
h) Confirm that there are no items covered by this portfolio to add to the Council's Hold List, for submission to the Executive.
i) Approve the current Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan, as detailed in Appendix J, to be updated for any amendments detailed in (g) and (h) above.
j) Note that there are no project appraisals requiring approval for Strategy Portfolio services.

## 3. Background

3.1 At its meeting on 25 October 2012, Council gave initial consideration to the budget prospects for the General Fund for 2013/14 and future years. Since the Medium Term Strategy (MTS) was agreed an error was discovered in the financial forecasts used to underpin the strategy and this resulted in future spending being understated by $£ 2.3 \mathrm{~m}$.
3.2 The overall Budget Strategy Report (BSR) to Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee on 21 January 2013 will include a review of all the factors relating to the overall financial strategy that were included in the MTS including re-basing the budget to address this under-forecast of expenditure.
3.3 The MTS set an overall savings requirement for net expenditure of $£ 569,700$ for 2013/14 and this is the savings target that has been used as a starting point for the 2013/14 budget. The expectation was that service reviews would contribute to achievement of the council's savings targets and across the Council there has been a significant overachievement against this figure. The position against any service reviews within this portfolio is shown in paragraph 3.15.
3.4 For 2013/14 provision was made for a Priority Policy Fund (PPF) of $£ 500,000$ to provide funding for developments that demonstrate a significant contribution to the Council's Vision Statement, as set out in the Annual Statement. Where appropriate, PPF bids are listed in Appendix E.
3.5 The report to The Executive on 24 January 2013 may include details of the Government's Final Settlement for $2013 / 14$. The announcement is likely to be made shortly after the conclusion of the consultation period, which ends on 15 January 2013.
3.6 The Executive at its meeting on 24 January 2013 will recommend capital bids for approval by Council. Items in the existing Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan and Hold List will also be reviewed to identify any which are no longer required, or where the current indicated timing for spending is no longer accurate. The Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan can then be revised to take account of any changes required.
3.7 Further work may be required on detailed budgets so delegation to the Director of Resources will be sought from Council for authority to finalise changes relating for example to the reallocation of departmental administration, support service and central costs, in accordance with the CIPFA Service Reporting Code of Practice for Local Authorities (SeRCOP).

## Revised Budget 2012/13

3.8 The following table sets out the proposed revised revenue budget for this portfolio in comparison with the September 2012 budget.

Table 1: Revised Budget 2012/13

| Total Net Budget |  | $\begin{array}{c}2012 / 13 \\ \text { Budget } \\ \text { Sept 2012 } \\ £\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { 2012/13 } \\ \text { Revised } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \text { Jan 2013 } \\ £\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Variation <br>

Increase/ <br>
(Decrease) <br>
£\end{array}\right]\)
3.9 On 21 February 2013, Council will consider for approval the revised budget proposals for this portfolio. The table above demonstrates, after budget transfers, a net decrease in the use of reserves of $(£ 162,410)$ compared to the position at September 2012. See Appendix A for further details of amended budget proposals/changes.
3.10 Appendix F shows the resulting net revenue spending for 2012/13, including the Revised Budget items.

## Review of Charges

3.11 There is no proposed review of charges requiring approval for this portfolio.

## Budget 2013/14

3.12 A summary of the proposed budget for $2013 / 14$ for this portfolio is shown in Table 2. This includes the effects of the proposed savings and unavoidable bids together with the impact of the proposed new charges.
3.13 The proposed savings and unavoidable bids, identified during the budget process to date, are detailed in Appendix C.

## Service Reviews

3.14 The anticipated net savings resulting from service reviews are shown in Table 2 and detailed in Appendix C.

## Overall Revenue Budget Position

3.15 The approved budget proposals for this portfolio will be submitted to the meeting of Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee on 21 January 2013 and for consideration by the Executive at its meeting on 24 January 2013.
3.16 An overall summary of the budget proposals, as set out in this report, is shown below in table 2.
3.17 Appendix F shows the resulting net revenue spending for 2013/14 and 2014/15, including the bids and savings (Appendix C) and Bids to Existing \& External Revenue Funding (Appendix D) but excluding the Priority Policy Fund (PPF) bids (Appendix E), until these are approved.

Table 2: Overall Budget Proposals

| Savings and Bids | 2013/14 <br> Budget £ | 2014/15 <br> Forecast £ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Savings: |  |  |
| Service Reviews | $(20,000)$ | $(20,000)$ |
| Other | $(2,220)$ | $(2,220)$ |
| Total | $(22,220)$ | $(22,220)$ |
| Bids: |  |  |
| Unavoidable | 42,660 | 42,660 |
| Other | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 42,660 | 42,660 |
|  |  |  |
| Net savings/bids (see Appendix C) | 20,440 | 20,440 |


| External Funding Bids (see Appendix D) | $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |


| Priority Policy Fund (PPF) Bids (see Appendix E) | 63,500 | 57,500 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |

## Capital - 2012/13 Revised Budget, Capital Bids and 2013/14 Proposed Budget

3.18 Appendix G shows the latest position against the 2012/13 Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan at September 2012 for projects within this portfolio, with variances explained in detail in the accompanying notes.
3.19 Appendix H details the schemes, which have been identified as possible bids for the Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan.
3.20 Appendix G (b) of the Medium Term Strategy, approved in October 2012, highlighted the need to review current Hold List items. There are currently no items on the Hold List for this portfolio.
3.21 Appendix J shows the Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan for all the projects within this portfolio (including any approvals since the MTS was published in October 2012, but before any changes arising in paragraphs 3.16, 3.17 and 3.18 above).

## Public Consultation

3.22 In recent years, the Council's annual budget consultation has been conducted through quantitative surveys, such as the inclusion of relevant questions in the biennial Citizens Survey and a questionnaire in Cambridge Matters, the Council's
residents' magazine. In these surveys, Cambridge residents had tended to identify the same services as priorities for Council expenditure.
3.23 This year the Council wanted to gain more in-depth understanding of the reasons residents regard certain services as a priority and view others as less important. The overall aim of the research was to gain a better understanding of the City Council services that local residents, businesses and voluntary groups regard as priority spending areas and those which are less important to them.
3.24 The 2012 Budget Consultation was undertaken by mruk research on behalf of Cambridge City Council in September 2012. It was conducted in the form of focus groups with residents - both face-to-face and online, and in depth interviews with businesses, voluntary and community groups. Questions covered the level of Council Tax, identification of those service areas that are most important and those that are less important, and those services that it was felt that the Council should not provide at all.
3.25 In broad terms, the results reflect previous surveys and participants regarded as essential the services covered by:

- collecting rubbish and recycling
- cleaning the streets and removing graffiti
- managing parks and public spaces
- environmental health services
- licensing - taxis, pubs and clubs
- planning for the future of the City
3.26 Important areas of service provision, as in previous years, included service areas such as:
- providing and promoting affordable housing
- the provision of housing advice and helping homeless people
- working with the police to tackle anti-social behaviour
- providing support and activities for older people, young people, disabled people and people from ethnic minorities.
3.27 As previously, results showed that residents placed least importance on managing services such as:
- car parks
- the Corn Exchange
- tourist information centre and services for visitors
- running events such as Bonfire Night, the Big Weekend and the Folk Festival.
3.28 Many residents felt that there were some services that could easily be provided by an alternative provider to the Council. However, many residents felt these services would be more of a priority if they benefit the Council, such as through revenue generation.


## 4. Implications

All budget proposals have a number of implications. A decision not to approve a revenue bid will impact on managers' ability to deliver the service or scheme in question and could have staffing, equal opportunities, environmental and/or community safety implications. A decision not to approve a capital or external bid will impact on managers' ability to deliver the developments desired in the service areas.
(a) Financial Implications

Financial implications of budget proposals are summarised in Table 2 above (see also Budget Setting Report 2013/14 - Council 21 February 2013).
(b) Staffing Implications

See text above.
(c) Equal Opportunities Implications

An Equality Impact Assessment has been undertaken in respect of budget proposals and a consolidated Assessment will be included in the Budget Setting Report which will be submitted to the Executive at its meeting on 24 January 2013.
(d) Environmental Implications

Where relevant, officers have considered the environmental impact of budget proposals which are annotated as follows:

- +H / +M / +L: to indicate that the proposal has a high, medium or low positive impact.
- Nil: to indicate that the proposal has no climate change impact.
-     - H / -M / -L: to indicate that the proposal has a high, medium or low negative impact.
(e) Consultation

As outlined in 3 above, budget proposals are based on the requirements of statutory and discretionary service provision. Public consultations are undertaken throughout the year, a full list can be seen at:
http://www.cambridge.gov.uk/ccm/content/consultations/2012-consultations.en
(f) Community Safety

See text above.

## 5. Background papers

These background papers were used in the preparation of this report:

- Medium Term Strategy 2012
- Budget Papers 2013/14


## 6. Appendices

In this Report:

- Appendix A
- Revised Budget Items (2012/13)
- Appendix B *
- Appendix C
- Appendix C(a) *
- Appendix D
- Appendix E
- Appendix F
- Appendix G
- Appendix H *
- Appendix I*
- Appendix J
- Appendix K *
- Appendix L * I
* = Not applicable for this Portfolio.


## 7. Inspection of papers

To inspect the background papers or if you have a query on the report please contact:
Authors' Name: John Harvey, Julia Hovells, Jackie Collinwood
Authors' Phone Number:
Authors' Email: 01223-458143, 01223-457822, 01223-458241
john.harvey@cambridge.gov.uk julia.hovells@cambridge.gov.uk jackie.collinwood@cambridge.gov.uk

## 2013/14 Budget - Revised Budget (2012/13)

Appendix Page 1 of 2

| Reference | Item Description | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | $2016 / 17$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Contact |

## Revised Budget

## Strategy

## One-off savings in the Corporate Policy budget

$(42,000)$
0
0
0
0 David Kidston

One-off savings arising from a range of elements in the Corporate Policy budget, including staff vacancies and efficiencies/prudence in the consultancy, interpretation and translation and publications budgets.

One-off savings in Corporate Marketing
$(10,000)$
0
0
0
$0 \begin{gathered}\text { Ashley } \\ \text { Perry }\end{gathered}$ administrative costs

These savings arise from efficiencies in producing the staff newsletter electronically; and from spending less this year than in the previous year on training officers in public speaking, community engagement and communications skills

RB3157

RB3177
Cambridge Business Improvement District (CBbid) levy

One off employee and supplies and services savings on Corporate Strategy administration budget

## Central Budget for Maternity Costs

$(18,000)$
0
0
0
0 Frances Barratt

One-off administrative savings from the Corporate Strategy service administration budget.

80,000
0
0
0
$0 \begin{aligned} & \text { John } \\ & \text { Harvey }\end{aligned}$

There is a central budget provision of $£ 164 \mathrm{k}$ which meets the salary costs of staff on maternity leave. Spending against this provision is, by it's nature, difficult to anticipate but the spending in 2012/13 is anticipated to exceed budget. The revised estimate and this bid have been based on staff currently on maternity leave. Note that service budgets are used to meet the costs of covering for staff on maternity leave.

Under the funding arrangements for the recently approved CBbid, all ciy centre business premises with a rateable value of $£ 20,000$ or more will pay an addiitonal $1 \%$ in business rates to support the aims of the organisation. (See also UR3179).

## 2013/14 Budget - Revised Budget (2012/13)

Appendix Page 2 of 2

| Reference | Item Description | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | $2016 / 17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget Contact |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ |  |

## Revised Budget

| RB3236 | Review of Project <br> Facilitation Fund <br> requirement | $(250,000)$ | 0 | 0 | Antoinette <br> Jackson |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The Medium Term Stratgey included a provision of $£ 500,000$ to facilitate delivery of capital schemes. Following a review of current requirements a reduction in the medium term requirement has been identified.

CCTV
$(27,000)$
Martin
Beaumont

Underspend on salaries and unbudgeted income

RB3245
Corporate Policy
$(12,000)$
Andrew
Limb

One off salary saving due to delay in recruitment

Total Revised Budget in Strategy

Total Revised Budget

Report Total

| $(305,540)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(305,540)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $(305,540)$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 2013/14 Budget - Savings \& Bids
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| Reference | Item Description | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | $2016 / 17$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Contact |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ |  |  |

## Savings

## Strategy

| S3028 | Cash limit Community <br> Safety grants | 0 | $(2,220)$ | $(2,220)$ | $(2,220)$ | $(2,220)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Lynda |
| :--- |
| Kilkelly |

It is proposed, as in previous years, to cash limit budgets for revenue grants.

| S3234 | Pay review provision - <br> update for actual <br> implementation effects | 0 | 0 | $(60,880)$ | $(165,880)$ | $(313,630)$Deborah <br> Simpson |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Following a detailed review of the effects of the recent pay review the actual implementation savings have been identified.

Total Savings in Strategy

Total Savings
$0 \quad(2,220) \quad(63,100) \quad(168,100) \quad(315,850)$
$0 \quad(2,220) \quad(63,100) \quad(168,100) \quad(315,850)$
2013/14 Budget - Savings \& BidsAppendix Page 2 of 3

| Reference | Item Description | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | $2016 / 17$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Contact |

## Service Reviews

## Strategy

| SR3023 | Corporate Policy - <br> Reduced budget for <br> interpretation and <br> translation | 0 | $(2,000)$ | $(2,000)$ | $(2,000)$ | (2,000) David <br> Kidston |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The proposal will reduce the base budget for the corporate interpreting services, which have been underspent consistently in recent years. This under spend and reduced requirement for budget is believed to be due primarily to the reduced costs of the service negotiated in the new contract, as well as some evidence of a reduced demand for the service.

| SR3095 | Income generation from <br> external customers for out <br> of hours services. | 0 | $(3,000)$ | $(3,000)$ | $(3,000)$ | $(3,000)$ Paul Necus |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Additional income from marketing the out of hours service to external customers.

| SR3225 | Standardisation of all CCTV <br> equipment and <br> maintenance <br> arrangements$\quad 0 \quad(15,000)$ | $(15,000)$ | $(15,000)$ | $(15,000) \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

To achieve savings across the Council for all services using CCTV through the establishment of single contracts and maintenance arrangements

Total Service Reviews in Strategy

Total Service Reviews

| 0 | $(20,000)$ | $(20,000)$ | $(20,000)$ | $(20,000)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $(20,000)$ | $(20,000)$ | $(20,000)$ | $(20,000)$ |

## 2013/14 Budget - Savings \& Bids

Appendix Page 3 of 3

| Reference | Item Description | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | $2016 / 17$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Contact |

## Unavoidable Revenue Bids

## Strategy

| UR3179 | Cambridge Business <br> Improvement District <br> (CBbid) levy | 42,660 | 42,660 | 42,660 | 42,660 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Under the funding arrangements for the recently approved CBbid, all ciy centre business premises with a rateable value of $£ 20,000$ or more will pay an addiitonal $1 \%$ in business rates to support the aims of the organisation. (See also RB3177).

Total Unavoidable Revenue Bids in Strategy

|  | 42,660 | 42,660 | 42,660 | 42,660 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 42,660 | 42,660 | 42,660 | 42,660 |
| 0 | 20,440 | $(40,440)$ | $(145,440)$ | $(293,190)$ |

## Appendix [D]

| Reference | Item Description | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | $2016 / 17$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Contact |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ |  |  |

## External Bids



## 2013/14 Budget - Priority Policy Fund Bids

Appendix Page 1 of 1

| Reference | Item Description | $2012 / 13$ | $2013 / 14$ | $2014 / 15$ | $2015 / 16$ | $2016 / 17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget | Budget Contact |
|  | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ | $£$ |  |

## PPF Bids

## Strategy

| PPF3027 | Salary and on cost to <br> support the Cambridge <br> Community Safety <br> Partnership | 0 | 14,500 | 14,500 | 14,500 | 14,500 Lynda |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kilkelly |  |  |  |  |  |  |

To continue the administrative support for the Cambridge Community Safety Partnership which has been very successful in contributing to the reduction of crime and disorder and anti-social behaviour in the City.

Cost of running the $0 \quad 0$ 600 Neighbourhood Resolution Panels Initiative within the community safety budget.

To support the work of the Neighbourhood Resolution Panel Co-ordinator, to finance hiring of venues, travel and other costs for volunteers and participants, registration with the Restorative Justice Council and further training. If successful, ongoing funding for both the staffing resource and the associated costs will be made as part of the 2014/15 budget process.

| PPF3208 | Provision for additional <br> employee costs associated <br> with adoption of Living | 0 | 43,000 | 43,000 | 43,000 | 43,000 | Deborah <br> Simpson |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wage - all directly <br> employed and Agency <br> staff (after 4 weeks) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

To pay City Council employees the equivalent of the Living Wage of $£ 7.45$ by way of a pay supplement, and to pay agency workers $£ 7.45$ after week 4 of their engagement. This will be monitored and reviewed in 2013.

Total PPF Bids in Strategy

| 0 | 63,500 | 57,500 | 57,500 | 57,500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 63,500 | 57,500 | 57,500 | 57,500 |
| 0 | 63,500 | 57,500 | 57,500 | 57,500 |
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## Appendix F

## Strategy Services Portfolio / Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee

Revenue Budget - 2012/13 to 2014/15

| Service Grouping | 2012/13 <br> Original <br> Budget <br> $£$ | 2012/13 <br> Budget <br> September 2012 <br> £ | 2012/13 <br> Revised Budget January 2013 £ | Variation <br> Increase / <br> (Decrease) <br> £ | 2013/14 <br> Budget £ | 2014/15 <br> Forecast $£$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Customer \& Democratic Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate Management* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Corporate Marketing* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Corporate Policy, Strategy \& Administration | 398,960 | 574,450 | 502,450 | $(72,000)$ | 509,820 | 509,820 |
|  | 398,960 | 574,450 | 502,450 | $(72,000)$ | 509,820 | 509,820 |
| City Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCTV | 178,870 | 189,880 | 162,880 | $(27,000)$ | 153,350 | 153,350 |
| Housing General Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community Safety | 121,240 | 120,430 | 120,430 | 0 | 123,870 | 123,870 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate and Democratic Core and Central Costs held prior to allocation | 2,398,780 | 2,878,440 | 2,815,030 | $(63,410)$ | 1,717,740 | 1,217,310 |
| Total Net Budget | 3,097,850 | 3,763,200 | 3,600,790 | $(162,410)$ | 2,504,780 | 2,004,350 |

[^0]Strategy Portfolio / Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee
2012/13 Capital Budget Position

| Capital Ref | Description | Lead Officer | Original Budget 2012/13 | Current Budget 2012/13 | Spend to end September 2012 | Anticipated Spend October 2012 to March 2013 | Anticipated Variance | Re-phase Spend | Forecast Over / (Under) Spend | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 |  |
|  | Solar Thermal Panels/Energy efficiency measures on nonhsg properties | D Kidston | 140 | 140 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 0 |  | A procurement waiver has been produced by officers and approved by the Executive Councillor in order for the Council to contract directly with Sustain Services to install the solar thermal equipment at 0 Abbey Pools outside of the framework agreement. A purchase order has been raised and the contract for the work has been signed. Installation and registration of the solar thermal panels is expected to be completed by the end of March 2013. |
| SC543 | Voltage Optimisation Roll-out | D Kidston | 33 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 |  | Installation of voltage optimsation technology at Mandela House was delayed, but installation will be rescheduled and should take place before $31 / 3 / 2013$. The proposed installation of voltage optimisation at Mill Road has not been taken forward, as the feasibilty study revealed that the there was not a viable business case. A business case is now being prepared for installation on the combined supply to Parkside Pool, Queen Anne Terrace and Kelsey Kerridge. Provided the business case stacks up, remaining funding will be reallocated to this and unit will be installed before $31 / 3 / 2013$. |
| Total Projects |  |  | 173 | 173 | 0 | 173 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |

Strategy Portfolio / Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee
2012/13 Capital Budget Position

| Capital Ref | Description | Lead Officer | Original Budget 2012/13 | Current Budget 2012/13 | Spend to end September 2012 | Anticipated <br> Spend <br> October 2012 <br> to March <br> 2013 | Anticipated Variance | Re-phase Spend | Forecast Over / (Under) Spend | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 |  |
| Total for Strategy Portfolio |  |  | 173 | 17 | 0 | 173 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
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Strategy Services Portfolio / Strategy \& Resources Scrutiny Committee
Capital \& Revenue Projects Plan
Capital-GF Projects

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Capital Ref - Cost } \\ \text { Centre } \end{gathered}$ | Description | Lead Officer | Capital Scheme Approval (£000's) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Spend in Prior Years } \\ (£ 000 \text { 's) } \end{gathered}$ | Current Year Budget (£000's) | 2013/14 (5000's) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2014/15 } \\ & \text { (£000's) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 / 16 \\ & (£ 000 \text { 's }) \end{aligned}$ | 2016/17 (£000's) | Spend This Year to Date (£000's) | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { SC503 - } \\ 39141 \end{array}$ | CCTV Technology Upgrade | M Beaumont | 150 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Approved at Council 17.2.11. £150k funded from R\&R |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { SC542 - } \\ 36016 \end{array}$ | Solar Thermal Panels/Energy efficiency measures on non-hsg properties | D Kidston | 140 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Approved at Council Feb-2012. Funded from use of Reserves. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC543- } \\ & 36017 \end{aligned}$ | Voltage Optimisation Roll-out | D Kidston | 33 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | Approved at Council Feb-2012. Funded from the Climate Change Fund (CCF) |
|  | Capital-GF Projects |  | 323 | 155 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
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[^0]:    * Cost Centres recharge to Corporate and Democratic Core (CDC).

